

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/652,268	08/28/2003	Peter Ackeret	8338-28	1962	
757 7:	590 02/10/2005		EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			SMITH, JAMES G		
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3723	3723	
			D. MT. V. III DD. 00/10/000	_	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/652,26	88	ACKERET, PETER				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		James G.		3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rej	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commercial for reply specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. o) days, a reply within the statu tutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>30 December</u> 20	<u>004</u> .					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌 💲	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4)× (Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23-77</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□ (Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□ (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicatio	n Papers							
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		•				
·	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🔲 T	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	cknowledgment is made of a claim t	for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
` 1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
.3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s			🗂 .					
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/28/03 & 12/30/04</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/652,268 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of the Group I invention in the reply filed on
 December 2004 is acknowledged.

Claims 23-77 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 27 December
 2004. Cancellation of these claims is required in the next response.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statements filed 28 August 2003 and 30 December 2004 contain duplicate citations, which are lined through on one of the statements.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 362, 363, 366

and 612-617 of copending Application No. 09/905,429. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because to remove the punch and its accompanying function is an obvious modification to one skilled in the art.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4496. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723